Date: Tue, 14 Dec 93 04:30:39 PST

From: Ham-Equip Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-equip@ucsd.edu>

Errors-To: Ham-Equip-Errors@UCSD.Edu

Reply-To: Ham-Equip@UCSD.Edu

Precedence: Bulk

Subject: Ham-Equip Digest V93 #133

To: Ham-Equip

Ham-Equip Digest Tue, 14 Dec 93 Volume 93 : Issue 133

Today's Topics:

For Sale
Info sought on QUANTUM batt. packs
New Ham 'bout to arrive
receiver sensitivity

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Equip@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Equip-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Equip Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-equip".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 13 Dec 1993 20:52:04 GMT

From: news.cerf.net!lsi.lsil.com!news@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: For Sale To: ham-equip@ucsd.edu

In article rm4@lsi.lsil.com, willis@lsil.com (Mark Willis) writes:

>Keywords:

> >

Hey Marc....How wuch do you want for your Keywords? :-)

Date: 12 Dec 1993 19:17:50 GMT

From: haven.umd.edu!news.umbc.edu!eff!news.kei.com!yeshua.marcam.com!

zip.eecs.umich.edu!caen!msuinfo!arctic2!cravitma@ames.arpa

Subject: Info sought on QUANTUM batt. packs

To: ham-equip@ucsd.edu

In article <1993Dec12.095415.1@ccsua.ctstateu.edu> white@ccsua.ctstateu.edu writes:

>

- > I've been looking at battery packs for my TH78a.... Has anyone
- > used the QUANTUM battery packs? Any feedback appreciated.
- > 73s de N1QVE Harry white@csusys.ctstateu.edu

I've not seen the Quantum HT batteries, but I have had very positive experiences with the Quantum batteries for camera flashes. As I recall, they were high capacity gel cells with charging circuitry etc. built in to prevent overcharging. (A photographer friend of mine leaves hers plugged in all the time). Hope this helps.

/Matthew (Still waiting for my ticket, 5 weeks and counting)

- -

Matthew Cravit | All opinions expressed here are
Michigan State University | my own. I don't speak for Michigan
East Lansing, MI 48825 | State, and they don't speak for me
E-Mail: cravitma@cps.msu.edu | (thank goodness).

Date: 13 Dec 1993 20:41:04 GMT

From: news.cerf.net!lsi.lsil.com!news@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: New Ham 'bout to arrive

To: ham-equip@ucsd.edu

In article goq@cismsun.univ-lyon1.fr, elendir@enst.fr () writes:

- >: Yes, that's great. Right now, though, as I said, I can't HAVE
- >: an external (outside of my apartment) antenna it's against the rules.

..so break the rules! (thats what they are there for!!!:-)). Go buy yourself an unobtrosive (size wise) antenna, and put it up, if you get complaints, explain to them that it is for emergancy communications (or join RACES then there's no problem!). If they still insist.... then take it down and put it up inside. Seriously, if you explain the emergancy communications aspect of the thing, most places will not object.

Date: Sun, 12 Dec 1993 22:41:04 GMT

From: news.Hawaii.Edu!uhunix.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu!jherman@ames.arpa

Subject: receiver sensitivity

To: ham-equip@ucsd.edu

In article <gganderson.166.0@augustana.edu> gganderson@augustana.edu (Kevin Anderson -7325) writes:

>I know in general one wants a receiver with a low sensitivity >threshold in terms of lower microvolts.

>Is there a practical way I can understand the differences between >receivers of say 2, 1, .5, and .125 microvolts sensitivity?

Kevin, I'm using a receiver that probably only has 20 mv sensitivity! Here's my setup: I've taken an old FM broadcast band stereo receiver and built into it a converter (actually a 50 MHz oscillator) so that it now pulls in the local 2M repeaters. You can overcome the rotten sensitivity with a good antenna. Oh, the selectivity is quite wide but our repeaters are widely seperated on the band.

_			
	eff	NH6IL	
